IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JOE LUIS VILLASANA,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) No. CIV 24-399-RAW-JAR
SUZANNE JORDAN, et al.,)
Defendants.)

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Plaintiff has filed two motions requesting the Court to appoint counsel. (Dkts. 3, 6). He bears the burden of convincing the Court that his claim has sufficient merit to warrant such appointment. *McCarthy v. Weinberg*, 753 F.2d 836, 838 (10th Cir. 1985) (citing *United States v. Masters*, 484 F.2d 1251, 1253 (10th Cir. 1973)). The Court has carefully reviewed the merits of Plaintiff's claims, the nature of factual issues raised in his allegations, and his ability to investigate crucial facts. *McCarthy*, 753 F.2d at 838 (citing *Maclin v. Freake*, 650 F.2d 885, 887-88 (7th Cir. 1981)). After considering Petitioner's ability to present his claims and the complexity of the legal issues raised by the claims, the Court finds that appointment of counsel is not warranted. *See Williams v. Meese*, 926 F.2d 994, 996 (10th Cir. 1991); *see also Rucks v. Boergermann*, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir. 1995).

ACCORDINGLY, Plaintiff's motions for appointment of counsel (Dkts. 3, 6) are DENIED.

Dated this 12th day of December 2024.

RONALD A. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Rolf a. White